# **EXHIBIT APR-29**

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 1 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

1 450. 1 01 20	27-CR-23-1886 Filed in District Cou
	State of Minneso 5/3/202 5 6:40 P
1	STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT
2	COUNTY OF HENNEPIN FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
3	
4	State of Minnesota,
5	Plaintiff, Transcript of Proceedings
6	vs. Court File No. 27-CR-23-1886
7	Matthew David Guertin,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	
11	The above-entitled matter came before the
12	Honorable Sarah Hudleston, one of the Judges of the above-named
13	court, in Courtroom 1057, Hennepin County Government Center, 300
14	South Sixth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota, on the 29th day of
15	April, 2025, at 11:18 a.m.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 2 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

	27-CR-23-1886 Filed in Dist State of N 5/3/2025	/linnesota
1	APPEARANCES	
2	Mawerdi Hamid and Timothy Humphreys, Assistant	
3	Hennepin County Attorneys, appeared as counsel for and on	
4	behalf of the Plaintiff.	
5	Emmett Donnelly and Raissa Carpenter, Assistant	
6	Hennepin County Public Defenders, appeared as counsel for and	
7	with the Defendant.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Maya Funk	
25	Official Court Reporter Minneapolis, Minnesota	

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 3 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

Page: 3 of 20	[ source file ] [ .ots timestamp of source file ]
	27-CR-23-1886 Filed in District Cour State of Minnesota 5/3/2025 6:40 PM
	3
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE CLERK: Your Honor, this is the State of
3	Minnesota vs. Matthew Guertin, Court File 27-CR-23-1886,
4	and we are on the record.
5	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Guertin.
6	MR. GUERTIN: Good morning.
7	THE COURT: Good morning, counsel. Please note
8	appearances.
9	MS. HAMID: Good morning. Mawerdi Hamid for
10	the state with co-counsel Timothy Humphreys, Your Honor.
11	MR. DONNELLY: Your Honor, Emmett Donnelly and
12	Raissa Carpenter. At this point we have been appointed
13	counsel for Mr. Guertin, and he is seated between us and
14	present.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Last time we were together,
16	Mr. Guertin expressed an interest in representing
17	himself, and I gave him the petition to self-represent,
18	and we talked about filling it out with a supervisor from
19	the public defender's office. At that time, I explained
20	to Mr. Guertin I couldn't take a waiver of counsel from
21	someone who was not competent but that I was bound by
22	Judge Koch's competency order.
23	The concern, however, happened that I am only
24	able to follow that competency order unless and until I
25	see evidence of lack of competency. And once I see that,

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 4 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

27-CR-23-1886

Filed in District Court State of Minnesota 5/3/2025 6:40 PM

4

1

2

4

3

5

7

6

9

8

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by law I'm required to address that, Mr. Guertin. That's the duty that the law imposes on me. I cannot take a waiver of counsel from someone who I do not believe is competent in the legal sense, in the sense of being able to rationally consult and rationally provide counsel with information and participate in his own defense.

You are an extremely intelligent person. You have patented really amazing technology. You've patented valuable technology. You've used AI to write really detailed legal memoranda. You have technological capabilities that probably surpass 99.9 percent of the population. So, I am not doubting your intelligence in any way whatsoever.

I also understand probably that nothing I say to you is probably going to see reasonable or fair because I know where you stand. I know what you think. You think the system is conspiring against you. All I can tell you is I am not. I am doing my duty under the law to make sure that somebody who's being charged with criminal charges has the ability to rationally consult and present a rational defense.

And based on what I've seen in the record, -and I reviewed your 50-plus page motion to dismiss, and
I've looked at a number of the cites and exhibits -- I
can't let you go forward because I have significant

27-CR-23-1886

### **Hearing Transcript** | **April 29, 2025** | **Faretta Hearing Turned Mental Health Eval** | **Index 189** EXHIBIT APR-29

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 5 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

concerns. And Minnesota Statute 611.42 subd. 2 says, "A defendant must not be allowed to waive counsel if the defendant lacks the ability to --" and then as pertinent here, "-- to appreciate the consequences of proceeding without counsel." And six, "comprehend other matters essential to understanding the case."

And the big concerns here are you're raising as defenses things related to your patent and a big conspiracy with Netflix and the government and the court -- criminal court case somehow being related to that. That we're trying to silence you. And that is not rational. That would not provide a defense to the charges. You would not have any defense to criminal liability based on the patent theories you are stating.

And so, therefore, I am going to order another Rule 20.01 evaluation. I know you will be extremely disappointed by this. I just can tell you that it's my duty. I have to do this. And I believe the state wanted to make a record as well.

MR. GUERTIN: Am I allowed to speak at some point?

THE COURT: In a moment. Yeah. Well, your counsel can speak for you, and then -- but first the state.

MS. HAMID: Thank you, Your Honor. The state

File Embedded and Bookmarked Version at MnCourtFraud.Substack.com/p/apr-29

Filed in District Court State of Minnesota 5/3/2025 6:40 PM

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 6 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

27-CR-23-1886 Filed in District Court State of Minnesota 5/3/2025 6:40 PM is also concerned with the number of filings that were 1 2 filed since yesterday. It appears there were about 50 3 filings last night, about 60 filings yesterday, and 4 about -- over 6,000 pages of documents that were filed, 5 and that there is a serious concern and that a Rule 20 should be ordered, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. And then I know defense 8 counsel -- I understand, Mr. Guertin, that you're wishing 9 to discharge them. But for now, they are still your attorneys. 10 11 And I know last hearing, Mr. Donnelly, you told 12 me defense counsel does not -- did not agree with Judge Koch's order and therefore disagreed with the competency 13 finding, correct? 14 15 MR. DONNELLY: That's true, Your Honor. 16 mean, we don't control that finding and we'll proceed accordingly. I have nothing to add to what the Court 17 I mean, we're not mouthpieces, and I know Mr. 18 19 Guertin objects, and I certainly am not going to be the one to put the gag over his mouth --20 THE COURT: Sure. 21 22 MR. DONNELLY: -- and keep him from talking. 2.3 THE COURT: Okay. Well, and I can hear from you in a moment, Mr. Guertin. I just wanted to state 24 25 that I did review Judge Koch's order, and I think that

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 7 of 20 [ source file ] [ .ots timestamp of source file ]

27-CR-23-1886

Filed in District Court State of Minnesota 5/3/2025 6:40 PM

1

3

2

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

things have materially changed since that order because that order relies on Mr. Guertin saying he will listen to his public defenders, saying he will take their advice and work with them. And then as soon as I saw Mr. Guertin, he wanted to discharge them despite them saying that they could not as officers of the court sign on to his motion to dismiss and the theories therein. think things have certainly -- that the basis for that order is no longer accurate.

Also I have now seen new evidence of incompetence. Again, just as far as the law considers it. You're very smart, Mr. Guertin. I'm not taking anything away from your intellect and your inventions. I'm just doing what needs to be done for criminal cases.

So, I can see you're very eager to speak, and I will let you speak in a moment. I just want to make a really fulsome record about that we have three separate examiners opining a lack of competence under Rule 20.01. You've got two very skilled and zealous defense advocates, and they wanted to advise you, and you did not want to have them on your case any longer as soon as we got to court after the Rule 20 calendar.

The allegations in the criminal complaint note things that suggest paranoia, post-Miranda statements of shooting to bring the police to your home because people

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 8 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

27-CR-23-1886 Filed in District Court State of Minnesota 5/3/2025 6:40 PM

8

were going to kill you over a patent. You also noted that in your own motion to dismiss at page 33.

Scrolling, "They're going to kill me," I believe.

There are now filings in the public record in this criminal case that evidence paranoia and conspiracy theories that are the hallmark of certain mental illnesses that the examiners have opined and that they're trained in. The expressions in the motion to dismiss, again, over 50 pages, have repeated assertions over and over and over and over again citing coordinated campaigns, intentional manipulation of the system to paint you as incompetent to proceed in your criminal case with the motive, I think, apparently being to cover up patent theft.

There are highly illogical assertions in there. There's extreme language, extreme repetition, paranoia, and conspiracy assertions. Talking about -- you talk about your handwritten note that says that "Whoever is behind this has one million different ways to set me up or frame me if they want. Netflix found out about my patent way before I found out about theirs. That's for sure. They're going to kill me." Things like that.

So, I know, Mr. Guertin, that you're going to

think I'm part of this coordinated campaign. All I can tell you is I promise you I am not. I'm following my

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 9 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

	27-CR-23-1886 Filed in District Cour State of Minnesota 5/3/2025 6:40 PM
	9
1	duty. I can't let you self-represent under these
2	circumstances.
3	Certainly, if you, you know, have patent
4	disputes, you can take that up with the PTO. You can
5	take it up with a qualified patent attorney. We don't
6	have any jurisdiction over patents here. We're all not
7	qualified to adjudicate patents and prior art and, you
8	know, anything like that. So, that needs to be a
9	separate proceeding. Even tort claims that just that
10	can't be part of the criminal case.
11	We have nothing again, nothing to do with
12	the patents. We have nothing to do with Netflix or other
13	government federal government agencies that it sounds
14	like you have been in dealings with.
15	And Ms. Hamid, I can turn to you as an officer
16	of the court with a sworn duty of candor to the court,
17	have you had any conversations in this case with anyone
18	from Netflix?
19	MS. HAMID: No, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Any conversations with anyone from
21	federal government agencies?
22	MS. HAMID: No, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Also with regard to Mr.
24	Guertin's assertions about doctored manipulated initial
25	discovery, do you know can you speak to that?
	Dealemented Vancion at MnCountEnoud Substack com/n/one 20

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 10 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

27-CR-23-1886
Filed in District Court
State of Minnesota
5/3/202 5 6:40 PM

MS. HAMID: No, Your Honor. The state is not aware of any of that information.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you know what I'm referring to when he said some initial images were mathematically incorrect and altered, and then when compared to later discovery they were inconsistent?

MS. HAMID: Yes, Your Honor. It was part of the competency hearing, and it was briefly discussed during that hearing. But the state is not aware of any manipulation of the evidence, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. So, Mr. Guertin, thank you for being patient. I know this has got to feel frustrating for you. Please go ahead.

MR. GUERTIN: I would just like to say that my claims about a conspiracy obviously originate in matters related and pertaining to my patent, but insofar as my claims within the court, they do not -- I'm not saying anything about Netflix. I'm saying about things that indicate that it has spilled over into the court.

Pertaining to the issue of fraudulent discovery, it's a logical and inescapable catch-22 that currently exists.

I can explain it if you'd like.

THE COURT: I did read your motion to dismiss, and I know you describe the catch-22 and that you had a logical I guess victory. I don't want to do that now

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 11 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

27-CR-23-1886

Filed in District Court State of Minnesota 5/3/2025 6:40 PM

11

because my decision is made, and I have more than ample basis for it. If you want to say a little something about it, you're welcome to.

MR. GUERTIN: Well, I would just say that it wouldn't matter even if it wasn't in the Hennepin County OneDrive system because once the photos existed and then they squished them to put them into the uniform aspect ratio, they can't un-squish them. So, it's a logical trap that proves my claim about it that can't be escaped. So, it's now being ignored because I'm being sidelined again by being determined incompetent.

And insofar as my filings that I filed yesterday, I don't know how many thousands of pages are the court's own records of completely AI-generated fraudulent cases that I uncovered which is now submitted into the record.

So, you -- that's hard evidence of 163 cases that I collected exactly one year ago around April 26th by filtering the three judges that were controlling my case and searching for their hearing dates on the MCRO system and then using a Python script to filter thousands of cases down to the 163 cases that they all had a part in spanning January 1st, 2023, to April 26th of 2024.

And with that 163 cases, I then used an automated script one morning to download 3,553 MCRO files

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 12 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

	27-CR-23-1886 Filed in District Cou
	State of Minnesot 5/3/202 5 6:40 PM
	12
1	all in order for all those cases, and I ended up with a
2	data set that had my name in it because those judges were
3	controlling my case. Those judges are Judge Julia Dayton
4	Klein, Referee Borer, and Referee Mercurio. And then
5	that was based on curiosity. Is this normal procedure
6	because it seemed like they were controlling my case to a
7	non-standard like strange degree let's say, right?
8	So, the data set that I ended up with is
9	thousands of files, and it contains AI-generated USPS
10	mail filings. That's irrefutable. And it contains the
11	same exact mirrored orders over and over and over, and it
12	also contains Raissa Carpenter assigned to 16 completely
13	fake cases such as Lucas Kraskey. What is there? 27-CR-
14	21-8067, 27-CR-21-8227, 27-CR-21-8228, 27-CR-21-8229, 27-
15	CR-21-8230, 27-CR-21-8511, 27-CR-21-20637, 27-CR-22-
16	17300, 27-CR
17	THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Guertin, I'm going
18	to stop you here because I know you filed this.
19	MR. GUERTIN: These are
20	THE COURT: So, it is in writing in your
21	motion.
22	MR. GUERTIN: And if you'd
23	THE COURT: Sir,
24	MR. GUERTIN: I could hand it in. I have a map
25	for what I handed in that relates to the indexes and maps

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 13 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

27-CR-23-1886 Filed in District Court State of Minnesota 5/3/2025 6:40 PM

13

them across since there was so many of them.

THE COURT: Sure. I will take that today. I'm focused today on your criminal charges and very specifically if I can accept your request to self-represent, and I simply cannot. Under the law -- the statute I read you as well as Rule 20.01, my duty is to order another evaluation. Again, I know you're going to think that I'm somehow part of a conspiracy. I'm sorry that you think that.

I -- as a very intelligent person, maybe I could pose to you the question of, let's say for argument's sake you were under some mental illness or some symptoms, maybe you could agree that it would seem like everyone is against you. Would you agree with that?

Just for argument's sake if you --

MR. GUERTIN: No. What happened was that I
was -- had the -- I was going to swear. I had the crap
scared out of me, and I have all the evidence now. So,
all of my claims aren't based on -- the definition of
"delusions" is a false version of reality despite
evidence to the contrary. That's not what I'm -- I'm not
suffering from delusions because I have all the evidence.

THE COURT: Okay. So, the delusional part,

I'll set that aside. For me, my focus is that I can't

find that you can rationally defend yourself and

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 14 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

27-CR-23-1886 Filed in District Court State of Minnesota 5/3/2025 6:40 PM

14

rationally participate with defense counsel as you told Judge Koch that you would when he ordered the competency finding. So, I do need to do another one. So, I'm going to order that now. That will be done on an out of custody basis.

And with regard to, you know, your concerns about Hennepin County documentation systems, I really can't speak to any of that. You know, that's something maybe that you can think about addressing if appropriate with --

MR. GUERTIN: It's in the record.

THE COURT: Right. But I'm saying it's not part of -- that doesn't have relevance to your criminal case.

MR. GUERTIN: It has relevance to the legitimacy of the entire court.

is this is not the conspiracy of the court system. We don't have anything to do with the people who stole your patents or who are using your technology perhaps without remunerating you as they're supposed to. We don't have anything to do with that. And certainly, you can hire a patent lawyer and you can go after that. But that's not the place here. So, we'll order the Rule 20.01 now.

MR. DONNELLY: Judge, may I just clarify one

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 15 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

	27-CR-23-1886 Filed in District 0
	State of Minne 5/3/202 5 6:4
	15
1	thing I said earlier?
2	THE COURT: Yeah.
3	MR. DONNELLY: That is that I don't criticize
4	Judge Koch's ruling. He dealt with the snapshot that he
5	had in front of him, and competency isn't static.
6	THE COURT: Of course. And I wasn't I'm not
7	criticizing it either. I'm saying there have been
8	material changes since that hearing based on what I read
9	in his order and what he had
10	MR. DONNELLY: Yeah. I understand that too. I
11	just wanted to be clear I'm not.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. And I didn't nope.
13	I didn't take it that way whatsoever, and I'm not either.
14	Of course. Things are
15	MR. GUERTIN: Is there an official objection to
16	this being noted in the record?
17	THE COURT: Certainly your objection is noted,
18	sir. Absolutely. Yes.
19	(Dates were discussed.)
20	MR. GUERTIN: What are we scheduling? A return
21	to
22	MS. CARPENTER: So, they're ordering you to
23	meet with a Rule 20 evaluator. And so, then they pick a
24	period of time for the Rule 20 evaluator to meet with you
25	and to write a new report for the Court. So, July 8th

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 16 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

	27-CR-23-1886 Filed in District Court State of Minnesota
	5/3/2025 6:40 PM
	16
1	you're scheduled to appear on the Rule 20 calendar which
2	is with all the mental health probate judges. They run
3	that calendar. And by then there will be a report. On
4	that date you either object to the report or you agree
5	with the report, and then they can enter a finding based
6	on the report and then set a hearing. If you're found
7	MR. GUERTIN: And what's being scheduled is a
8	Rule 20 or coming back in front of
9	MS. CARPENTER: Yep. A Rule 20 and then you'd
10	go on the Rule 20 calendar on the 8th. If you're found
11	competent on the 8th, then you need a court date with
12	Judge Hudleston to restart your case and restart
13	proceedings. So, they're picking a second date after
14	July 8th that theoretically your case can restart if
15	you're found competent on July 8th.
16	(Dates were discussed.)
17	THE CLERK: I'll get you some notices, Mr.
18	Guertin.
19	THE COURT: And you can note, Porshia, in the
20	referral that Mr. Guertin objects to being re-referred.
21	THE CLERK: Okay.
22	MR. GUERTIN: Did you have a chance to look at
23	the affidavit?
24	THE COURT: I did not have a chance to look at
25	anything you filed yesterday. I was on a court calendar

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

 $SHA-256\ Hash\ of\ Source\ File:\ 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4$ 

Page: 17 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

-	27-CR-23-1886 Filed in District Cou
	State of Minnesot 5/3/2025 6:40 PI
	17
1	until 7 p.m., and there are hundreds and hundreds of
2	pages. But I looked at a lot of the things you filed
3	MR. GUERTIN: Yeah, the
4	THE COURT: with related to the motion to
5	dismiss.
6	MR. GUERTIN: And that's what you're basing the
7	incompetency on?
8	THE COURT: Oh. I looked at the competency
9	evaluation. I've looked at Judge Koch's order. And
10	MR. GUERTIN: So, it's based on not even
11	looking at the filings yesterday?
12	THE COURT: It's based on what I saw that you
13	filed in your motion to dismiss that I had not had a
14	chance to review when I saw you last. It's based on that
15	as well as looking back into your file and then looking
16	at some of the things you cited in your motion to
17	dismiss. Correct. And I did
18	MS. HAMID: Your Honor,
19	THE COURT: Sorry. I did look at as quickly as
20	I could this morning at the general nature of your
21	filings yesterday, but I certainly couldn't read
22	thousands of pages or hundreds of pages.
23	MR. GUERTIN: Yeah. They're not all to be read
24	necessarily.
25	THE COURT: Yeah. Right. I saw the general

#### 189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 18 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

Page: 18 01 20	[ source file ] [ .ots timestamp of source file ]		
	27-CR-23-1886 F	State of	strict Court Minnesota
			5 6:40 PM
1	nature of them. Yes.	18	
2	MS. HAMID: Your Honor, may I? Last time ther		
3	was lack of cooperation from Mr. Guertin to meet with an		
4	evaluator. And instead he sent emails to the evaluator.		
5	Can we just put on the record that he's required to meet	•	
6	with the evaluator in person?		
7	THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Guertin, I know you		
8	object to this. I will note your strenuous objection.		
9	It's very well documented in your filings. You do need		
10	to meet with them in person.		
11	MS. CARPENTER: I don't know if they always		
12	want to meet in person.		
13	THE COURT: Or by Zoom.		
14	MS. HAMID: Or by Zoom. Yeah.		
15	THE COURT: You need to meet with them so that		
16	they can have a conversation with you whether virtually		
17	or in person.		
18	THE CLERK: And Mr. Guertin, does your phone		
19	number still end in 4540?		
20	MR. GUERTIN: Correct.		
21	THE CLERK: Okay.		
22	MR. DONNELLY: May I approach, Your Honor? Do	)	
23	you want this document?		
24	MS. CARPENTER: You said you would		
25	THE COURT: Yes. I'll take that. Thank you.		

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 19 of 20 [ source file ] [ .ots timestamp of source file ]

1 age. 17 01 20	 i ous timestamp of source me	
	27-CR-23-1886	Filed in District Court State of Minnesota 5/3/2025 6:40 PM
		19
1	Thank you, counsel. Take care.	
2	Take care, Mr. Guertin.	
3	MR. GUERTIN: Thank you.	
4	(The proceedings were adjourned at 11:40 a.m	.)
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	on at MaCountEnoud Substack com/a/ann 20	

189 Transcript April-29-2025 2025-05-03.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 6e587d8740c1d2e308a95c32df626eb3ab0731fc81ab7198f2451a9b4a4043f4

Page: 20 of 20 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

Page: 20 01 20	[ source file ] [ lots timestamp of source file ]		
	27-CR-23-1886		Minnesota
		5/3/202	5 6:40 PM
		20	
1	STATE OF MINNESOTA) ss:		
2	COUNTY OF HENNEPIN)		
3			
4	COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
5			
6	I, MAYA FUNK, an Official Court Reporter in and		
7	for the Fourth Judicial District of the State of		
8	Minnesota, do hereby certify that I have transcribed		
9	the foregoing transcript from the CourtSmart audio		
10	recording, and that the foregoing pages constitute a		
11	true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken in		
12	connection with the above-entitled matter to the best		
13	of my ability.		
14	Dated: May 3, 2025		
15			
16			
17	/s/Maya Funk		
18	Maya Funk Official Court Reporter		
19	C859 Government Center 300 South Sixth Street		
20	Minneapolis, MN 55487 (612) 322-6951		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

#### Notice of Hearing on July 8, 2025 | April 29, 2025 | Index 185

**EXHIBIT APR-29** 

Notice-of-Hearing-on-July-8-2025 2025-04-29.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 95169ca3ee525967a0899ba5e0f401166864cc5e5fc7886bd6cb7cee6f9321a1

Page: 1 of 1 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

STATE OF MINNESOTA HENNEPIN COUNTY DISTRICT COURT FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

State of Minnesota

v

MATTHEW DAVID GUERTIN 4385 Trenton Lane N UNIT 202 Plymouth MN 55442 Court File: 27-CR-23-1886

#### Notice of Hearing and Judicial Assignment

Your next court appearance is:

Tuesday, July 08, 2025 1:30 PM

with

District Court Judge William H. Koch Hennepin County Government Center 300 South Sixth Street

Minneapolis, MN 55487

This appearance is for: Hearing

- If you do not appear for this hearing, a warrant may issue for your arrest. If you fail to appear for a petty misdemeanor, a conviction may be entered.
- > Arrive 15 minutes early to allow time to go through security.
- Court may take a few hours please plan for this.
  - o If set for Trial: You must plan to be available for trial for the entire week, including rescheduling work and personal appointments. This applies Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. until 5:00 p.m.
- If you cannot afford to hire a lawyer and want to apply for a court-appointed attorney, go to <a href="https://4thcourts.pde.courts.state.mn.us">https://4thcourts.pde.courts.state.mn.us</a> or scan the QR code to start the application.
- If you represent yourself, visit <a href="https://www.mncourts.gov/Help-Topics/Representing-Yourself-in-Court.aspx">www.mncourts.gov/Help-Topics/Representing-Yourself-in-Court.aspx</a>.
- > If you are appearing on a traffic offense, bring your driver's license and proof of insurance.
- > If you are paying a fine, credit cards, cash, and checks are accepted.
- You can receive automatic reminders of future court dates via email or text.

  Visit <a href="https://www.mncourts.gov/hearing-ereminders.aspx">www.mncourts.gov/hearing-ereminders.aspx</a> or scan the QR code to enroll.

7. W.

I received a copy of this notice,

Defendant Signature

Date

If you have questions or your contact information has changes, call 612-348-6000.

HC 3758 (11/2024)

#### Notice of Hearing on July 15, 2025 | April 29, 2025 | Index 186

**EXHIBIT APR-29** 

186 Notice-of-Hearing-on-July-15-2025 2025-04-29.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: dea085b75d07b69d7ca6baef5741abff218101e7de303e8444666ae45867ac94

Page: 1 of 1 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

STATE OF MINNESOTA HENNEPIN COUNTY

DISTRICT COURT FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

State of Minnesota

V

MATTHEW DAVID GUERTIN 4385 Trenton Lane N UNIT 202 Plymouth MN 55442 Court File: 27-CR-23-1886

#### Notice of Hearing and Judicial Assignment

Your next court appearance is:

Tuesday, July 15, 2025 9:00 AM

with

District Court Judge Sarah Hudleston Hennepin County Government Center 300 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, MN 55487

This appearance is for: Hearing

- ➤ If you do not appear for this hearing, a warrant may issue for your arrest. If you fail to appear for a petty misdemeanor, a conviction may be entered.
- Arrive 15 minutes early to allow time to go through security.
- > Court may take a few hours please plan for this.
  - o If set for Trial: You must plan to be available for trial for the entire week, including rescheduling work and personal appointments. This applies Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. until 5:00 p.m.
- ➤ If you cannot afford to hire a lawyer and want to apply for a court-appointed attorney, go to <a href="https://4thcourtspde.courts.state.mn.us">https://4thcourtspde.courts.state.mn.us</a> or scan the QR code to start the application.
- If you represent yourself, visit <a href="https://www.mncourts.gov/Help-Topics/Representing-Yourself-in-Court.aspx">www.mncourts.gov/Help-Topics/Representing-Yourself-in-Court.aspx</a>.
- If you are appearing on a traffic offense, bring your driver's license and proof of insurance.
- If you are paying a fine, credit cards, cash, and checks are accepted.
- You can receive automatic reminders of future court dates via email or text.
  Visit <a href="https://www.mncourts.gov/hearing-ereminders.aspx">www.mncourts.gov/hearing-ereminders.aspx</a> or scan the QR code to enroll.

I received a copy of this notice.

Defendant's Signature

Dat

If you have questions or your contact information has changes, call 612-348-6000.

HC 3758 (11/2024)

#### $Order\ Evaluation\ for\ Competency\ to\ Proceed\ Rule\ 20.01\ |\ April\ 29,\ 2025\ |\ Index\ 187$

87 Order-Evaluation-for-Competency-to-Proceed_Rule-20-01 2025-04-29.pdf GHA-256 Hash of Source File: 985ace5c5d03d36f5cadde64dc52a5a50c565142ca87f8f7495ceac27fa01fb1 Page: 1 of 3 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]			
	State of Minnesota	District Court	
	Hennepin County	Fourth Judicial District	
-	State of Minnesota,		
	Plaintiff, v.	Order to Fourth Judicial District Court	
		Psychological Services	
	MATTHEW DAVID GUERTIN,  Defendant.	27-CR-23-1886	
-			
	Defendant Informat		
-		Custody – at Facility: entifier: 403932	
	Phone: Home: 763-221-4540, Cell: 763-221-4540	THE TO SEE	
	Email: mattguertin@protonmail.com		
	Current Address: 4385 Trenton Lane N UNIT 202 Plymouth MN 55442		
	Confirmed address with Defendant		
	Additional family/collateral contact number and instructions:		
	It is found and ordered:  Probable cause has been found (all Rule 20.01 evalua)  The defendant is to be released upon completion of to Order must be filed giving that direction).  This is part of the Expedited Misdemeanor Competer For non-targeted misdemeanor cases, a competency evaluation is necessary to assess:  whether the defendant has a cognitive impair	the interview process (A Conditional Release nce Evaluation (EMCE) Program.  y evaluation is in the public interest because the	
	whether the defendant has the ability to accemedications, and treatment for medical condition	ess housing, food, income, disability verification, ns; or	
	whether the defendant has the ability to other	erwise address any basic needs.	
	<ol> <li>The Chief of Psychological Services of the Fourth Judicial Distr conduct the following psychological evaluation, assessment a</li></ol>	nd/or consultation regarding the defendant:	
	No new evaluation is needed based on parties  County completed in court file number .	s' agreement to adopt the report from	
	(Cases to be set on the Rule 20 Calendar at least 2 business out-of-custody defendants.)	days out for in-custody defendants and at least 1 week for	
	Mental state at the time of the alleged act pursuant t	o Rule 20.02 (M'Naghten Rule)	
	Sex Offender Evaluation (psychosexual) pursuant to N	Minnesota Statute § 609.3457, subd. 1	
	<sup>1</sup> Targeted Misdemeanors are: DWI (169A.20); OFP Violation (518B.01); Assault 5 (609.224); Domestic Assault (609.2242) HRO Violation (609.748); Indecent Exposure (617.23); DANCO Violation (629.75)	; Interference with Privacy (609.746)	
		HC 2926 (02/2025	

#### Order Evaluation for Competency to Proceed Rule 20.01 | April 29, 2025 | Index 187 EXHIBIT APR-29

187 Order-Evaluation-for-Competency-to-Proceed Rule-20-01 2025-04-29.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 985ace5c5d03d36f5cadde64dc52a5a50c565142ca87f8f7495ceac27fa01fb1

Page: 2 of 3 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

	Repeat Sex Offender Evaluation pursuant to Minr Consultation (Pre-Sentence) Other (please specify)	nesota Sta	tute § 609.3457, subd. 1a.
2.	2. Copies of this evaluation shall be provided to the Court and the following individuals:		
	Defense Attorney: RAISSA CARPENTER	Phone:	612-614-0748
	Prosecuting Attorney: MAWERDI AHMED HAMID	Phone:	612-348-7727
3.	Probation Officer: The hearing for the return of the psychological evaluation	Phone: n will be he	eld on July 15, 2025, at 9:00am.

- 4. Upon presentation of this order, the relevant custodian of records shall provide (whether mailed, discussed verbally, faxed, or personally delivered) to the Examiner all relevant records from the following sources: behavioral, chemical dependency, developmental disability, educational, employment, judicial, law enforcement (including audio/visual recordings), medical, probation/correction, psychological, and social service. A copy of the records so requested shall be delivered to the Examiner within 96 hours of presentation of this order. Records that are faxed shall be sent to 612-843-9315. Mailed records should be sent to Hennepin County District Court, Psychological Services, 300 South Sixth Street, C-509 Government Center, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55487. All agencies maintaining the above-listed records may also communicate verbally with the requesting Examiner.
  - The Court specifically finds good cause exists for authorizing the disclosure of the identified records, including chemical dependency records, because other ways of obtaining the information are not available or would not be effective, and the public interest and need for disclosure outweighs the potential injury to the patient, the physician/patient relationship and any chemical dependency treatment facility or organization holding records pertaining to Defendant.

The Court hereby attests pursuant to HIPAA Privacy Rule at 45 CFR 164.502(a)(5)(iii), the purpose of the use or disclosure of the requested protected health information is **not** to investigate or impose liability on any person for the mere act of seeking, obtaining, providing, or facilitating reproductive health care or to identify any person for such purposes. If a person knowingly and in violation of HIPAA obtains individually identifiable health information relating to an individual or discloses individually identifiable health information to another person, that person may be subject to criminal penalties pursuant to <u>42 U.S.C.</u> <u>1320d-</u>6.

- 5. During the preparation of the report, the Examiner and any employee of Community Corrections and Rehabilitation may discuss the case and share relevant information in a manner consistent with Minnesota Rules of Criminal Procedure, Minnesota Statutes, and case law.
- 6. If a sex offender evaluation has been ordered and the defendant is a Repeat Sex Offender as defined in Minnesota Statute § 609.3457, subd. 1, Psychological Services is ordered to comply with both the requirements of §609.3457 and the agreement with Minnesota State Operated Forensic Services. A copy of any Repeat Sex Offender Report produced by Psychological Services shall be forwarded to the Court and the Commissioner of Corrections.

HC 2926 (02/2025)

#### Order Evaluation for Competency to Proceed Rule 20.01 | April 29, 2025 | Index 187 EXHIBIT APR-29

187 Order-Evaluation-for-Competency-to-Proceed Rule-20-01 2025-04-29.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 985ace5c5d03d36f5cadde64dc52a5a50c565142ca87f8f7495ceac27fa01fb1

Page: 3 of 3 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

- 7. In the case of competency evaluations pursuant to Minnesota Statutes § 611.43 and Rule 20.01, the Examiner shall offer in the report an opinion and support for the opinion on:
  - a. Defendant's mental health and cognition, including any diagnoses made and the results of any testing conducted with the defendant;
  - b. Defendant's competency to stand trial;
  - c. Level of care and education required for the defendant to attain, be restored to, or maintain competency;
  - d. A recommendation of the least restrictive setting appropriate to meet the defendant's needs for attaining competency and immediate safety;
  - e. Impact of any substance use disorder on the defendant, including the defendant's competency, and any recommendations for treatment;
  - f. Likelihood the defendant will attain competency in the reasonably foreseeable future;
  - g. Whether the defendant poses a substantial likelihood of physical harm to self or others;
  - h. Whether the defendant, if opined incompetent to proceed by the court examiner, possesses capacity to make decisions regarding neuroleptic medication;
  - i. Whether the defendant is suitable to refer for consideration of civil commitment and the basis of the possible commitment;
  - j. Whether the defendant may be mentally ill and dangerous; and
  - k. Whether the defendant needs immediate hospitalization.
- 8. In the case of competency evaluations pursuant to Minnesota Statutes § 611.43 and Rule 20.01, the Examiner shall promptly notify the Court, prosecutor, defense attorney and those responsible for the care and custody of the defendant if the Examiner concludes the defendant:
  - a. Presents an imminent risk of serious danger to another,
  - b. May be imminently suicidal, or
  - c. Needs emergency intervention.

Dated: April 29, 2025	
	Sarah Hudleston
	District Court Judge

✓ Please direct the prosecuting agency to forward a copy of the police report for each case to Psychological Services.

HC 2926 (02/2025)

#### Order Appointing Forensic Navigator | April 29, 2025 | Index 188

**EXHIBIT APR-29** 

188 Order-Appointing-Forensic-Navigator 2025-04-29.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 03525586ece0c00b49b649e5358e6c950caa29edee8534682a80a459d2b65c64

Page: 1 of 2 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

#### TO BE USED WITH ALL COMPETENCY/RULE 20.01 EVALUATIONS

		,
State of Minnesot Hennepin County	a	District Court Fourth Judicial District
State of Minnesot Plain v.	•	Order for Appointment of Forensic Navigator
MATTHEW DAVID 4385 Trenton Land Plymouth MN 554 Defe	e N UNIT 202	27-CR-23-1886
	Defendant Inform	ation
		In Custody – at Facility:
Date of Birth: 07/2 Phone: Email: Current Address:	Home: 763-221-4540, Cell: 763-221-4540 mattguertin@protonmail.com	6 Identifier: 403932

#### It is hereby ordered:

- 1. A forensic navigator is appointed under Minn. Stat. § 611.42, subd. 3(b), if one is available and assigned by the Forensic Navigator Program. The Forensic Navigator Program shall inform the court of any such assignment by filing a Notice of Assignment in this case. Once assigned, the forensic navigator is ordered to provide the services described in Minn. Stat. § 611.55, including: developing a bridge plan to identify appropriate housing and services; assisting and supervising defendants when appointed to do so by the court; providing services to assist defendants with mental illnesses and cognitive impairments; and, if ordered to supervise a defendant, reporting to the court on defendant's compliance or noncompliance with conditions of pretrial supervision and any order of the court.
- 2. By presentation of a copy of this Order by the forensic navigator assigned by the Forensic Navigator Program, whether mailed, sent electronically, or personally delivered, any agency or department shall release within 96 hours all information and/or records of the defendant including medical, psychological, behavioral, chemical dependency, social service, probation/correctional/jail records (including behavioral notes, medical notes, psychiatric notes, jail reports or logs, and any records or information maintained by a jail from any third party medical provider/contractor/public health staff), developmental disability, military, Social Security, employment, and educational records to the forensic navigator assigned by the Forensic Navigator Program by the custodian of the records for the purpose of the examination, notwithstanding the Minnesota Health Records Act, the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, or any other federal or state law. Such information and/or records may be delivered to the forensic navigator assigned by the Forensic Navigator Program by mail, sent electronically, discussed verbally, or personally delivered. These records will not be included in the court file. Any further use or disclosure of these records shall only be by court order.

HC 2926-FN (02/2025)

Additional family/collateral contact number and instructions:

#### Order Appointing Forensic Navigator | April 29, 2025 | Index 188

**EXHIBIT APR-29** 

188 Order-Appointing-Forensic-Navigator 2025-04-29.pdf

SHA-256 Hash of Source File: 03525586ece0c00b49b649e5358e6c950caa29edee8534682a80a459d2b65c64

Page: 2 of 2 [source file] [.ots timestamp of source file]

#### TO BE USED WITH ALL COMPETENCY/RULE 20.01 EVALUATIONS

a. The Court specifically finds good cause exists for authorizing the disclosure of the identified records, including chemical dependency records, because other ways of obtaining the information are not available or would not be effective, and the public interest and need for disclosure outweighs the potential injury to the patient, the physician/patient relationship and any chemical dependency treatment facility or organization holding records pertaining to Defendant.

The Court hereby attests pursuant to HIPAA Privacy Rule at 45 CFR 164.502(a)(5)(iii), the purpose of the use or disclosure of the requested protected health information is **not** to investigate or impose liability on any person for the mere act of seeking, obtaining, providing, or facilitating reproductive health care or to identify any person for such purposes. If a person knowingly and in violation of HIPAA obtains individually identifiable health information relating to an individual or discloses individually identifiable health information to another person, that person may be subject to criminal penalties pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1320d-6.

April 29, 2025	
Dated	
	District Court Judge

HC 2926-FN (02/2025)